CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL

1951-52-53. **File No.** NS-93

P.W. **DEPARTMENT**

B CLASS 9 **BRANCH** XXIII

Subject:— Representations.

B(K).193

File No. NS-93-9-XXIII.

Ed. Secret. Slip. 24 A

Std. Sectt. 78A o.

G R., G D., No. 2408, dated 22-6-22.]

Correspondence

DOCKET SHEET (B)

Subject :—

File No._____

_____DEPT.

_____BRANCH.

References :—

Separated from main file

Date.	To whom sent.	Notes and instructions.

17

Letter.

CONFIDENTIAL.
No.G-14.

Public Works Department,
Belgaum: 8th June 1951.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Southern Circle, Belgaum.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, BOMBAY.

Subject:- Allegations against the P.W.D. staff at Karwar.

Reference: Government P.W.D. Endorsement No.592/44922-F of 29th May 1951.

2. I request you kindly to send the original letter of 5th May 1951, from N.A. Shanbhag, addressed to Government in order to enable me to know the applicant's address and to proceed further in the matter.

D.A.- Nil.

Superintending Engineer, S.C.

DRJ.7/6.

F. Br.

submitted with a draft ewst. for approval.

14/6.

14/6/57.

S.As.



11-6-57.

-3-14

NS 93/50999

[Below Shri M.A Shanbhag's letter, dt. the 5-5-1951, addressed to the C.E, P.W.D., reg. allegation against the P.W.D. staff at Karwar].

Confdl. 93
No. NS. 93/50999F.

P.W.D.
B.C. (B'lore No 1),
15th June 1951.

X Flag B
1912 NS 92/
40950—1-W-W-P.

Forwarded to the S.E, S.C., for disposal with reference to this confdl. letter No. G. 14, dt. the 8-6-1951.

By order etc.,

14/6/51.

S.A.S.

521

Below Shri M.A.Shanbhag's letter dated the 5th May 1951, addressed to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, regarding allegations against the Public Works Department staff at Karwar.

Confidential.
No.NS.93/50999F.

Public Works Department,
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
15th June 1951.

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle, for disposal with reference to his confidential letter No.G.14, dated the 8th June 1951.

By order of the Governor of Bombay,

S/c 16/6/51.

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department.

.15/6/51

16/6/51

Issued with D.O. 16/6/51.

16/6/51

Letter.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
Southern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department, BOMBAY 1.

CONFIDENTIAL.
No.G-14.

Public Works Department,
Belgaum: 31st July 1951.

Subject: Allegations against the P.W.D. Staff at Karwar.

Reference: Govt. Endt. P.W.D. No. NS.93/50999-F (Confdl.) of 15-6-1951.

2. On receipt of the original application in this office, a registered letter (.A.D.) was addressed to Shri M.A. Shanbag, Post Siddapur and it has been received back "Un-delivered" as the addressee was not known. From this it is concluded that the application in the name of Shri M.A. Shanbag addressed to you, is pseudonymous and the same has been recorded in this office.

D.A.:NIL.

Superintending Engineer, S.C.

GDT/31-7-

NS 93

67464

F Br

Record.

SMS
7/8/51

7/8/51

~~SMS~~
~~C.S.~~

P. W. D.
File No. NS- 93
Serial No. 67464

ADVANCE COPY.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
B O M B A Y.

Humble application of H.V.Byadagi clerk in the Sub--Divisional Office, Haliyal Sub-Division of the Kanra Division, for consideration of the order of my dis--continuance in service.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to place before you the following request for your kind consideration.

I was a Government servant retrenched from service in Soil Conservation Deprtment under the Agricultural Department, and on the recommendation of the Director of Welfare of Back--ward Class, B.S, Poona, and subsequently re-employed as an officiating clerk in the Sub-Division Office, Haliyal, R.& B, under Executive Engineer Kanara Division's number G 43/cB of 6-9-51. But recently I have been served with a motice by the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division, that the Director of Agril. has assigned my un-satisfactory work, as the reasons for my dis-charge(retrenchment) from the Agricultural Department and that there is, therefore no justification in continuing me in service in the present Department on grounds I am not entitled to the concessions meant for retrenched candidates. I beg to point out that I had been serving in the Agril. Department for seven years from 23-2-44 to 28-2-51 and during this period of 7 years such un-satisfactory work on my part was not brought to my notice, nor have I received the extracts from the confi-dential reports to that effect. I beg to state that I do not also find any thing in any Government orders to specify that no retrenched candidate should be continued or re-employed sub--sequently in any Department, if his work in the previous Depa--rtment before re-employment in other Department is found to be unsatisfactory.

If at all my retrenchment is mainly due to my un-satisfactory work, I admit my un-satisfactory work might have been due to my nature of my service, ~~whereas~~ viz as an Agril.Asst. whose duties consisted of outdoor work, such as survey and planning work etc. But my duties in the present post in P.W.D. are different and are clerical duties which are favourable to me to improve my work. I therefore request that if at all my discharge from the Agril. Department is due to my un-satisfactory work in that Department I may kindly be given a trial in my work as a special case for a year or so before I am discharged as per the present orders issued by the Executive Ebgineer, Kanara Division.

I beg to mention that if I am again discharged from my present post in your Department as per the Executive Engineer's orders in question, I shall be deprived of the concessions of relaxation of the age limit granted by the Government, and I shall be totally over-aged, ileligible for Government post in any Department permanently, and my future prospects of a Back-ward class candidate whose condition Government intends to improve will be shattered, as my removal from the present service in your Department will amount to a second discharge which will dis-qualify me for any further service under Govern--ment.

In view of the above facts, I earnestly request you to kindly consider my case as a special case and issue orders to the authorities concerned to continue me in your Department.

Yours faithfully,

Byadgi 2-1-52

Respectfully submitted through the Sub-Divisional Officer, (R & B) Haliyal, the Executive Engineer,Kanara Dn.Karwar, & the Superi-

P.T.O

X 44

Superintending Engineer, South Circle,
Belgaum for favour of consideration.

F.B

R.T.D

receipt of original representation,
submitted thro the proper
channel, may be awaited.

10-1-52

10/1/52 S.A.S

A.W.I. 11/1.

F 7/1

D.
NS93
1231

F

7-1-52

ADVANCE COPY.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Public Works Department,
B O M B A Y.

Humble application of H.V.Byndagi clerk in the Sub-Divisional Office, Haliyal Sub-Division of the Kanra Division, for consideration of the order of my dis-continuance in service.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to place before you the following request for your kind consideration.

I was a Government servant retrenched from service in Soil Conservation Department under the Agricultural Department, and on the recommendation of the Director of Welfare of Back-ward Class, B.B, Poona, was subsequently re-employed as an officiating clerk in the Sub-Division Office, Haliyal, N.& B, under Executive Engineer Kanara Division's number C 43/cB of 6-9-51. But recently I have been served with a notice by the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division, that the Director of Agril. has complained my un-satisfactory work, as the reasons for my discharge(retrenchment) from the Agricultural Department and that there is, therefore no justification in continuing me in service in the present Department on grounds I am not entitled to the concessions meant for retrenched candidates. I beg to point out that I have served in the Agril. Department for seven years from 23-2-44 to 28-2-51 and during this period of 7 years such un-satisfactory work on my part has not brought to my notice, nor have I received the extracts of the confidencial reports to that effect. I beg to state that I do not also find any thing in any Government orders to specify that no retrenched candidate should be continued or re-employed sub-sequently in any Department, if his work in the previous Depa-rtment before re-employment in other Department is found to be unsatisfactory.

If at all my retrenchment is mainly due to my un-satisfactory work, I admit my un-satisfactory work might have been due to my nature of my service, whereas as an Agril.Asst. whose duties consisted of outdoor work, such as survey and planning work etc. But my duties in the present post in P.W.D. are different and are clerical duties which are favourable to me to improve my work. I therefore request that if at all my discharge from the Agril. Department is due to my un-satisfactory work in that Department I may kindly be given a trial in my work as a special case for a year or so before I am discharged as per the present orders issued by the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division.

I beg to mention that if I am again discharged from my present post in your Department as per the Executive Engineer's orders in question, I shall be deprived of the concessions of relaxation of the age limit granted by the Government, and I shall be totally over-aged, ileligible for Government post in any Department permanently, and my future prospects as a Back-ward class candidate whose condition Government intends to improve - will be shattered, as my removal from the present service in your Department will amount to a second discharge which will dis-qualify me for any further service under Govern-ment.

In view of the above facts, I earnestly request you to kindly consider my case as a special case and issue orders to the authorities concerned to continue me in your Department.

Yours faithfully,

2-1-52

Respectfully submitted through the Sub-Divisional Officer, (N D)
Superi-

Superintendking Engineer, South Circle,
Belgaum for favour of consideration.

No /1 Haliyal. 3-1-52

Forwarded with compliments to
the Executive Engineer Kanara Dn.
for favour of further disposal

Shankar Gauvar
3.1.52.
Deputy Engineer Haliyal.

Confidential
No.G-43/CB

Office of the Ex.Engr.K.Dn,
Karwar 8th January 52.

Passed on w.cs. to the Superintending Engineer, S.C.Belgaum for favour of further disposal and immediate instructions in the matter. In view of your order No.G-43 (Confidential) dated 14-12-51, the services of Shri H.V.Byadgi, clerk have been ordered to be terminated from 14-1-1952 A.N.

Executive Engineer,
Kanara Division.

10.1.52

5. 47

/Executive Engineer, Kanara Division's Below/endorsement No.G-43/CB(Confdl.) dated the 8th January 1952 regarding Shri.H.V.Byadgi, Officiating Clerk

****

Confidential.
No.G-43.

Public Works Department.
Belgaum: 10 JAN. 1952

Passed on with compliments, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bombay.

2. Shri.H.V.Byadgi, a retrenched candidate from the Backward class, though overaged was appointed by the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division as officiating clerk in a leave vacancy and because he was overaged, he was ordered by this office to be discharged. Shri.Byadgi however, claimed the concession as regards relaxation of his age limit admissible under para 4 of Government Resolution (Political & Services Department) No.9530/34, dated the 24th June 1950 as he was a retrenched candidate. The point then arose as to how he could be retrenched in the Agricultural Department when he had to his credit over 7 years service there and on enquiry with the Director of Agriculture, it transpired that Shri.Byadgi was retrenched on account of unsatisfactory work in that Department.

3. From the present application of Shri.Byadgi, it is seen that he was employed in the Agricultural Department on outdoor work where he might have been found misfit and hence his work found to be unsatisfactory. In this Department, however, he is employed as a clerk and because he belongs to the backward class and the nature of work is also different, he would be tried in that capacity in this Department for about six months and if he is found unsuitable he would be discharged. Pending Government orders on the representation of Shri. Byadgi, the Executive Engineer has been asked to continue him in service for the present.

KSR.10/1.

Superintending Engineer, S.C.

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Executive Engineer, Kanara Division for information and necessary action.

Copies forwarded, with compliments, to the Director of Agriculture with a copy of the application referred to with reference to this office No.G-43, dated 14-12-1951.

Copies forwarded, with compliments, to the Director of Backward Class Welfare, State of Bombay, Poona.

14/1/52

NSGS P
1231

P. W. D.
File No. VS. 93
Serial No. 4263

NS 93/4263

P. W. D.

F.B.

Submitted.

2. This is a representation from Shri H.V. Byadgi, Clerk, reg. continuance in service. Shri Byadgi was employed as Agricultural Assistant in the Agricultural Deptt. for period from the 23rd February 1944 to the 28th February 1951. He was, however, retrenched on account of unsatisfactory work in that Deptt. Shri Byadgi belongs to the Backward Class and on recommendation of the Director of Welfare, Backward Class Welfare he has been re-employed as an officiating clerk in the leave vacancy in the office of the Dy. Engr., Haliyal R.& B. Sub-Dn. He was overaged at the time of apptt. The Ex. Engr, Kanara Dn., therefore, served him a notice for termination of his services. Shri Byadgi states that if his services are now terminated, he would not be eligible for concession of age-limit granted in para. 4 of G.R., P.& S.D., No.9530/36, dated the 24-6-1950. He, therefore, requests that he may be continued in service. The S.E., C.C. states that Shri Byadgi's work will be watched for about six months and if he is found unsuitable, he will be discharged. The action proposed to be taken by the S.E. may perhaps be approved.

MAB/19/1/52.

D.S.(I&E)

Ch. Engr.

If good enough Shri Byadgi may be continued, in view of the fact that he belongs to Backward class.

Chief
22/1.

MS.93/1263 ~~450~~

F.B.

P.2D

Resubmitted with ref. to the

ch. Engrs note overleaf.

(Part II put)

2. The draft put up for

approval may issue

23-1-52

23/1/52

SAS.

4 5 1

Compl.
No. PS93/42G3,F · Letter

9 ...

B.C. Bombay PS
24th Jan. 1952.

The S.E., S.C.

Subject Representation of
Shri H.V. Byadgi, clerk,
reg. continuance in service

Ref your endt Compl PS
No 5
G-43, dated the 10-1-52

2. The action proposed in
para. 3 of your endt. ref.
to above is approved.
Shri Byadgi should please
be given a suitable reply
in the matter.

By order,

SAO

RA PS.

11-52

Issued
4/1/52

1/1/52